LAL FOTEDAR) : fa) and (b) SSI units receive iron and steel supplies from the concerned State Small Scale Industries Corporations as well as from the producers against demand registrations. There is no system of allotment to them State-wise or calendar year-wise .

(c) Precise data are not available.

Increase in the prices of agricultural inputs and outputs

409. SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE :Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) the increase in the prices of agricultural inputs like irrigation fertilizers, pesticides, tractors etc. during the last five years; and

(b) the rise in prices of agricultural outputs during **the** same **period ?**

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV) : (a) The prices of agricultural inputs like fertilizers, pesticides, tractors, etc. between 1984-85 and 1988-89 recorded on an average **an annual increase from 2.7 to 7.3 per cent. The prices of diesel oils, an important input used for lifting water, increased** from 2.1 to 3.7 per cent whereas the charges for electricity, another important input used for irrigation remained constant in most of the States during the same period.

(b) The yearly increase in the prices of major agricultural outputs during the last five years works out to about 9 per cent for cereals, about 14' per cent for pulses, about 10 per cent for foodgrains, about 6 per cent for oilseeds and about 8 per cent for all the agricultural outputs put together.

Review of assessment Results of agricultural Scientists

410. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) the number of agricultural scientists in each grade who had applied for the review of their assessment results in accordance with the notification of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, dated the 25th July, 1985;

(b) the number of scientists in each grade who had been granted promotions to the next higher grade till date in the said review process on the recommendations of the Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board ;

(c) the number of these Scientists who got the benefit of promotions in the said review process from retrospective dates and who earlier denied promotions **by** the **ASRB** two, three and **four** times respectively on the basis of supplementary information; and

(d) the reasons for changing **the** earlier assessment results of a large number of scientists in the said review process by the **ASRB** ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE **IN** THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH **AND** EDUCATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI HARI KRISHNA SHASTRI) (a) The number of scientists in different grades of Agricultura[1]

Research Service who applied for review of their earlier assessment results is as under :—

Grade	Number
S	101
	786
	291
S-3	143
S-4	2
TOTAL	1323

(b) Some of the reviewee scientists, who had been promoted earlier wanted the date of their promotion advanced. Others who had not got the promotions requested for promotion on review of their earlier assessment results. The number in each category is given below :

	No. of scientists	
	Whose date of promotion was advanced on review	Who were not promoted earlier but were promoted on review
s .	23	7
S-l	146	109
S-2	42	45
S-3	30	13
S-4	1	••
TOTAL	242	174
GRAND TOTAL	416	

(c) Number of scientists included in (b) above granted promotion on review, but were earlier denied promotions :

(i) 2 times	52
(ii) 3 times	87
(iii) 4 times	22
TOTAL	161

(d) The scientists who applied for review had an opportunity to clarify and furnish additional/supplementary material in support of their claim for promotion, which was based on job accomplishment with respect to the goals assigned in the areas of resident instruction, research and extension education during the period under consideration Assessment Committees consisting of Subject Matter Specialists and eminent scientists gave due consideration to the constraints faced by the scientists and on re-examination based on their collective judgement revised the earlier results. Such revision took place only in about 31 per cent cases.

Verification of Lists of Members of Group Housing Societies

411. SHRI CHANDRIKA PRASAD TRIPATHI : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) the number of Group Housing; Societies which had applied to the office of Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Delhi for verification of me lists of members during the period, from the 1st July, 1988 *to* the 30th June 1989 and how many of them have been cleared and forwarded to the Delhi Development Authority for fixing the dates for draw ;

(b) what are the reasons **for** such **a long** delay when the societies fulfil the requirements as desired in their Memo of twenty points ;

(c) what action Government have taken or propose to take against